The state of six dangerous glacial lakes in the Nepalese Himalaya
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ABSTRACT

Glaciers in the Himalaya are increasingly retreating and thinning due to climate change. This process is the primary cause of glacial lakes expansion and has increased the possibilities of the glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs) that have been responsible for heavy loss of life and damage to downstream infrastructures. This study examines the status of the existing potentially dangerous glacial lakes in the Nepalese Himalaya such as Imja Tsho, Tsho Rolpa, Thulagi, Chamlang South, Barun Tsho, and Lumding Tsho; which were more susceptible to GLOF after the devastating earthquake in 2015. We examined the evolution and decadal expansion rate of lakes from 1987 to 2016 using Landsat images. The results show significant expansion of Imja Tsho, Barun Tsho, and Lumding Tsho at the rates of 42.1, 46.8, and 32.9% respectively, during 2006 - 2016; while other glacial lakes (i.e., Chamlang South, Barun Tsho, and Lumding Tsho) are relatively stable. Although the current status of glacial lakes may be stable in term of burst risk, high expanding lakes must be prioritized for detail studies. Continuous model-based monitoring and risk assessment, mitigation measures and disaster management strategies are necessary for reducing the impact of GLOFs.

1. INTRODUCTION

The total glaciated area of Himalaya is ~22990 km² (Bolch et al. 2012) and there are more than 4000 glacial lakes in the Himalaya (Zhang et al. 2015; Nie et al. 2017). Almost 800 km of the Himalayan range runs through Nepal (Le Fort 1975). Previous studies have found that the Himalayan glacial lakes display a complex episodic patterns of change (Nie et al. 2017). The glacial lakes in the Himalaya are different from the glacier-fed large lakes found in Tibet, especially in the inner basin. The glacial lakes in Himalayas are usually connected with glacier terminus and have small area (Zhang et al. 2015), however glacier-fed lakes in Tibet have melting water supply within the basin, are far from glacier and are large in size (Zhang et al. 2017a).

Glacial lake studies in the Nepalese Himalaya are very important because these lakes are good indicators of the significant change of climate that has occurred. Since the early 1970s there has been notable warming in the Nepalese Himalaya (Shrestha et al. 1999); and since the early 1960s new glacial lakes have been appearing and increasing in size (Mool et al. 2001; Bolch et al. 2008). This trend still continues (Zhang et al. 2015; Nie et al. 2017). This increase in the number and size of glacial lakes adds to the risk of glacial lake outburst flood (GLOFs) events (Ives et al. 2010; Shrestha and Aryal 2011). The recent inventory has found 1541 (80.95 ± 15.25 km²) glacial lakes in 2017 across the Nepalese Himalaya (Khadka et al. 2018). ICIMOD has listed 21 potentially dangerous glacial lakes (PDGL) identified by combination of remote sensing techniques and extensive field study. Out of 21 PDGL, 6 glacial lakes (Imja Tsho, Tsho Rolpa, Thulagi, Chamlang South, Lumding Tsho, and Lower Barun Tsho; Tsho is local language for lake) are ranked as highly critical undergoing studies of expansion rate, glacier and dam conditions, and topographic characteristics, in addition surveying the possible socio-economic damage from their probable burst (ICIMOD 2011). The GLOFs that have already occurred from different glacial lakes in Nepal along with transboundary GLOFs were very destructive, causing of loss of life and property and heavy economic loss; damaging hydropower installations, roads, and other infrastructures (Richardson and Reynolds 2000; Lutz et al. 2016).
A systematic and scientific investigation is needed to provide a better understanding of current knowledge and wider dissemination of research advances on dangerous glacial lakes in the Nepalese Himalaya. Some individual glacial lakes of the Nepalese Himalaya, like Imja Tsho have been examined extensively. Aftermath of the devastating earthquake in 2015, Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, Nepal (DHM) had warned the existing six PDGL mentioned above at a high risk of bursting (Acharya 2016) as their field investigation identified cracks on outlet channel of Tsho Rolpa (Byers III et al. 2017). Majority of aftershocks of earthquake occurred north-east of Kathmandu (Goda et al. 2015), where the dangerous glacial lakes are located. A field-based study concluded that earthquake contributed for further destabilizing the existing conditions of glacial lakes through the creation of new cracks in the terminal moraines, shifted boulders, loss of land through landslides, and outlet channel slumping (Byers III et al. 2017). This paper has systematically reviewed the status of the six glacial lakes in the Nepalese Himalaya mentioned above.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

Nepal is located in the central Himalaya, and is surrounded by China to the north and India to the south, east, and west. Nepal has a total land area of 147181 km$^2$ and a population of 26.4 million (CBS 2012). Nepal can be divided into three physiographic regions, i.e., Himalaya, Hill, and Terai (plains). More than 80% of the country is mountainous (Shrestha and Zinck 2001). The river basins of Nepal are transboundary being shared with Tibet-China and India. The Koshi basin in the eastern region, Gandaki basin in the central region and Karnali basin in the western region are the main river basins of Nepal. The climate of the Nepalese Himalaya is dominated by the Indian monsoon with most of the precipitation occurring in summer during June - September (Ueno et al. 2001). There are numerous glacial lakes located at high altitude in the northern part of Nepal, which are susceptible to GLOFs. This study is focused on six PDGL identified by International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) as shown in Fig. 1.

2.2 Methods Used

The data used are based on Landsat data, published scientific papers, and various reports and other related documents. The latter have been obtained from a variety of sources such as web sites, and academic and research institutions. A total of 8 ortho-rectified Level 1 with cloud-free Landsat TM/OLI images of 30 m resolution of the day time for the month of October or November were downloaded from the web portal (https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/). This images were used to map lake extents in 1987, 1996, 2006, and 2016. Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) developed by McFeeters (1996) is widely used for delineation

Fig. 1. The inset shows the location of Nepal. Potential dangerous glacial lakes in Nepal (ICIMOD 2011). D1, D2, and D3 indicate danger/critical priority with D1 the highest priority that requires monitoring, extensive field investigation and mapping. Here, 1 = Thulagi (Dona); 2 = Tsho Rolpa; 3 = Lumding Tsho; 4 = Imja Tsho; 5 = Chamlang South Tsho; 6 = Barun Tsho (Lower).
of water bodies (lakes) from remotely sensed digital imagery [Eq. (1)]. An algorithm developed by Zhang et al. (2017b) was used to delineate the boundaries of glacial lakes using Landsat images, which determines the optimal threshold of normalized difference water index (NDWI) images by Otsu method. The delineated glacial lake boundary was visually checked and edited. The analysis tools used for the study were Microsoft Excel and ArcGIS 10.4.1. The supplementary datasets provided with some scientific articles were also downloaded and used for interpretation and evaluation of glacial lakes. The overall status of glacial lakes, their evolutions, expansion and the consequences of their changes were evaluated and conducted.

\[
\text{NDWI} = \frac{\rho_{\text{Green}} - \rho_{\text{NIR}}}{\rho_{\text{Green}} + \rho_{\text{NIR}}}
\]

where, \(\rho_{\text{Green}}\) and \(\rho_{\text{NIR}}\) are top-of-the-atmosphere reflectance for the green and near-infrared bands.

### 2.3 A Summary on Remote Sensing Techniques for Identifying Dangerous Glacial Lakes

Inventories, monitoring and evaluation of glacial lakes can be done with the help of satellite images precisely integrated with a geographical information system (GIS) (Bajracharya et al. 2002). Multi-spectral satellite imagery is useful in determining the extent of glacial lakes using NDWI or by manual delineation (Zhang et al. 2015; Nie et al. 2017). This technique has made easier for monitoring lake area changes from past to present and identifying rapidly expanding glacial lakes in the remote and fragile Himalayas.

Several studies have been conducted to identify PDGL by developing various approaches, hazard assessment and GLOF susceptibility assessment techniques using satellite images and digital elevation model (DEM) (ICIMOD 2011; Wang et al. 2011, 2012; Aggarwal et al. 2017; Prakash and Nagarajan 2017). Wang et al. (2011) selected five parameters, i.e., mother glacier area, distance between lake and glacier terminus, slope between lake and glacier, mean slope of moraine dam, and mother glacier snout steepness, to identify the first order dangerous glacial lakes in southeastern Tibetan Plateau using Landsat images, ALOS AVNIR-2 and DEM. The recent study has found strong melting and deformation of southeastern Tibetan glaciers using satellite data (Du et al. 2019). Wang et al. (2012) used five indices (dam type, size of lake, changes in area, dam characteristics and distance between lake and parent glacier) to identify PDGL in the Chinese Himalaya using ASTER images and digitized DEM from topographic maps. Rounce et al. (2017b) evaluated the hazards of the Nepalese glacial lakes by modelling ice avalanche trajectories, landslides/rockfalls, upstream GLOFs, and moraine stability using Randolph Glacier Inventory and geo-morphometric analysis of 30 m ASTER GDEM. Out of 41 GLOF events from moraine dammed lakes in Himalaya, 50% were triggered by ice avalanche and 75% occurred in monsoon season. Hence, an outburst susceptibility assessment method was developed to identify dangerous lakes using analytical hierarchy process, remote sensing and GIS tools, considering 11 factors including mass movements, seismic and climatic conditions (Prakash and Nagarajan 2017).

Remote sensing techniques and approaches are useful to identify first order dangerous glacial lakes, however these techniques have their own limitations to find the stability of a glacial lake. For example, remote sensing cannot provide information regarding seepages through moraine, sub-en-glacial pathways of flood (Rounce et al. 2017a). Seepage water in the moraine weakens the dam that might lead to uncertain GLOF (Lamsal et al. 2016). Many studies suggest that use of high resolution DEM data is necessary to accurately reveal the geomorphological characteristics of lake and surroundings. Hence, sole reliance on remote sensing data is also inadequate for finding the degree of a glacial lake’s instability (ICIMOD 2011). Adequate field data and verification, use of high resolution data, update on current knowledge on GLOF triggering mechanism and modellings are challenging, however this will help in improving remote sensing approaches to identify and prioritize dangerous glacial lakes.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 Evolution and Status of Typical Glacial Lakes

##### 3.1.1 The Case Studies of Imja Tsho, Tsho Rolpa, and Thulagi

Imja Tsho is formed at the lower tip of its mother glaciers (Imja-Lhotse Shar glaciers) and drains through a dam formed by the end moraine giving rise to the Imja Khola, a tributary of Dudh Koshi (ICIMOD 2011; Chen et al. 2014). The history of the development of the Imja Tsho started with small ephemeral ponds in the late 1950s (Watanabe et al. 1994) and Bajracharya et al. (2007) calculated the area of lake in 1962 as 0.028 km². Imja Tsho expanded to 0.64 km² in 1987, rapidly increasing to 0.69, 0.9, and 1.34 km² in 1996, 2006, and 2016 respectively. During period of 1987-2016, Imja Tsho expanded by 0.024 km² yr⁻¹ (Fig. 2, Table 1). The bathymetric survey conducted in 1992 (Yamada and Sharma 1993), 2002 (Sakai et al. 2003), and 2012 (Somos-Valenzuela et al. 2014), reveals that the volume of Imja Tsho have expanded by ~140% in two decades between 1992 and 2012 (Table 2). A study in 2014 reveals the maximum depth of lake is 150 m with 78.4 million m³ volume of water (Haritsashya et al. 2018). The maximum potential flood volume calculated for Imja Tsho in SLA (Steep Lake Front Area) of angle 5.4° is 0.4 million m³ in 2015 (Rounce et al. 2017b).

Tsho Rolpa glacial lake is fed by the Trakarding glacier (Reynolds 1999; Rana et al. 2000; Benn et al. 2007;
ICIMOD 2011). The development of Tsho Rolpa started with the coalescence of six small supraglacial ponds in the late 1950s, after which the lake grew in a linear trend (Shrestha et al. 2011). The lake area was 0.23 km$^2$ in 1958 (ICIMOD 2011); it subsequently grew to 1.4 km$^2$ in 1987 and 1.52 km$^2$ in 1996. In 1993, the volume of lake was 0.0766 km$^3$ (WECS 1994). Chikita et al. (1998) found that the Tsho Rolpa expansion was due to bottom subsidence causing ice melt below the lake bottom and the horizontal retreat of glacier terminus. In 2000, the lake area decreased to 1.53 km$^2$ (ICIMOD 2011) because of engineering work to lower the level of the lake by draining the lake-water through an outlet channel in 1999 (Reynolds 1999). Rounce et al. (2017b) estimated 66.3 million m$^3$ maximum potential flood volume at 17.4° SLA in 2015. The Tsho Rolpa had been progressively deepening since its formation with an average deepening rate during 24 years of 1970 - 1994 considered to be > 5 my$^{-1}$ (Sakai et al. 2000). Sakai et al. (2000) evaluated the deepening rate as 1.2 my$^{-1}$ in 1994; however, the average lake deepening rate calculated in 2009 was 0.43 my$^{-1}$ (ICIMOD 2011). The Trakarding glacier provided melt water as well as the addition space for horizontal lake expansion (Chikita et al. 1998). Thus, Tsho Rolpa was growing both vertically and horizontally. However, the lake

![Fig. 2. Development of typical glacial lakes between 1987 and 2016 in the Nepalese Himalaya (after Rounce et al. 2016).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume ($\times 10^6$ m$^3$)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>67.1 ± 3.7</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum depth (m)</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>116.3 ± 5.2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average depth (m)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>48 ± 2.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *1992 (Yamada and Sharma 1993); 2002 (Sakai et al. 2003); 2012 (Somos-Valenzuela et al. 2014); 2014 (Haritashya et al. 2018).
area has been stable since the mitigation works employed in 1999. This study found the 1.54 ± 0.12 km² area of Tsho Rolpa in 2016 with an expansion rate of 0.005 km² yr⁻¹ in period of 1987 - 2016.

The Thulagi lake development took place by coalescence and enlargement of small supraglacial ponds over 50 to 60 years. In 1995, Thulagi Lake had an area and length of 0.76 km² and 1.97 km, respectively, which by 2009 had expanded to 0.94 km² in area and 2.54 km in length (WECS 1995; ICIMOD 2011). The volume of the lake increased from 31.8 - 35.3 million m³ by 2009 (WECS 1995; ICIMOD 2011). The area of Thulagi is pretty stable after 2004 (Fig. 2) and this study found 0.91 ± 0.87 km² area in 2016. A recent bathymetric measurement in 2017 shows maximum depth of lake is 76 m with 36.1 million m³ water storage (Haritashya et al. 2018).

3.1.2 The Case Studies of Chamlang Tsho, Lumding Tsho, and Barun Tsho

Despite Chamlang Tsho, Lumding Tsho, and Barun Tsho glacial lakes being listed as potentially dangerous glacial lakes, these glacial lakes are less studied as compared to glacial lakes aforementioned. The decadal evolution of these three glacial lakes from 1987 - 2016 are shown in Fig. 3. The Chamlang South Tsho started to evolve from

Fig. 3. Decadal evolution map of six typical glacial lakes from 1987 to 2016 at scale size of 1:100000. The number indicates respective glacial lakes as shown in Fig. 1 as 1 = Thulagi (Dona); 2 = Tsho Rolpa; 3 = Lumding Tsho; 4 = Imja Tsho; 5 = Chamlang South Tsho; 6 = Barun Tsho (Lower).
small lakes probably during the early 1960s (Sawagaki et al. 2012); it reached 0.04 km² in 1964 (Lamsal et al. 2016) and then grew consistently reaching to 0.83 km² in 1996. Since then the lake area has been quite stable (Fig. 2). The depth and volume of this lake were estimated to be 87 m and 35.6 × 10⁶ m³, respectively by bathymetric measurement in 2009 (Sawagaki et al. 2012).

The evolution of Lunedg Tsho and Barun Tsho (Fig. 3) reveals that they have been increasing in area. Bathymetric study of Lunedg Tsho in 2015 revealed the volume of that lake to be 57.7 × 10⁶ m³ with an average depth of 51 m and a maximum depth of 114 m (Rounce et al. 2016). Based on remote sensing analysis, Rounce et al. (2016) rated Lunedg Tsho as a high risk lake susceptible to both dynamic and self-destructive failure and Lower Barun Tsho as very high risk lake susceptible to dynamic failure. The area of Lunedg Tsho was 0.104 km² area in 1963 (Shrestha and Balla 2011). This study finds 0.66 ± 0.05 km² area of Lunedg Tsho in 1987 growing to 0.8 ± 0.07 km² in 1996 and reaching to 1.18 ± 0.09 km² in 2016. The growth rate of Lunedg Tsho was 0.018 km² yr⁻¹ for the period of 1987 - 2016 (Table 1). Bathymetric measurement of Barun Tsho was conducted for the first time in 2015, which revealed the maximum depth of lake is 205 m with ~112.3 million m³ water storage (Haritashya et al. 2018). Barun Tsho had an area of 0.62 km² in 1987, significantly growing at the rate of 0.038 km² yr⁻¹ attending the size of 1.71 ± 0.1 km² in 2016.

3.2 Comparison Between Evolutions of Different Typical Lakes and Their Characteristics

The six typical PDGL in the Nepalese Himalaya possess interesting characteristics in the historical process of their evolutions. All six lakes started to evolve from the coalescence of supraglacial lakes or ponds at the tip of their parent glaciers. All lakes gradually started to expand after the second half of 20th century, however their expansion rates varied with time. For example, Imja Tsho, Barun Tsho, and Lunedg Tsho show significant expansion of 42.1, 46.8, and 32.9% respectively, in the recent period of 2006 - 2016, however the area of Tsho Rolpa, Thulagi, and Chamlang Tsho are quite stable in recent years (Fig. 3). Most of the attention and research have been focused in Imja Tsho, however from the late 1980s, Barun Tsho have expanded more rapidly (Fig. 2). Bathymetric study also revealed that the depth and volume of Barun Tsho are ~37 and ~27% greater than Imja Tsho, as mentioned in section 3.1. Continue expansion (area and deepening) of glacial lakes also leads to the expansion of its volume and thus increase in potential flood volume. Formally, Tsho Rolpa was the biggest potentially dangerous glacial lake of Nepal, however this study show Barun Tsho has exceeded Tsho Rolpa in its area by ~10% in 2016 (Fig 2). Mapping six glacial lakes (Fig. 3) reveal that increase in areal extent of these glacial lakes are due to horizontal retreat of glacier terminus and enhanced calving, as also reported by previous studies (Sakai et al. 2003). The width and depth of glacial lake at calving front (glacier and lake interface) determine the expansion of glacial lake. Barun Tsho have the highest lake width (770 m) at calving front than other glacial lakes, resulting in its high expansion (Haritashya et al. 2018). Hence, the different geometry of glacial lakes is one of the reason for difference in growth rates of these six glacial lakes. Transmission of thermal energy from fetch of glacial lakes connected to glaciers causes submerged ice melt resulting calving of glacier terminus, which in return provides melt water in triggering lake expansion, as reported in Tsho Rolpa (Chikita et al. 1997).

4. DISCUSSION

After the devastating earthquake in 2015, DHM, Nepal announced that the existing six PDGL at high risk of burst (Acharya 2016). As a result, in 2016, and with the support of international aid (UNDP 2013), DHM worked with the Nepalese Army to make an outlet and drain more than 4 million m³ of water from Imja Tsho, lowering the lake by more than 3.5 m. The objective was to prevent a possible GLOF event (Khadka 2016). However, a GLOF modelling study suggests that Imja Tsho must be lowered by at least 10 m from its original height (5010 m) to prevent major damage and 20 m to completely eliminate the chance of a downstream GLOF (Somos-Valenzuela et al. 2015). Decision making analysis also suggests that lowering the lake 10 or 20 m is an effective response for Imja Tsho’s risk management, as the time and occurrence of a GLOF is uncertain (Cuellar and McKinney 2017). Tsho Rolpa’s area is quite stable after mitigation work adopted in 2000. Seepage and overtopping waves caused by hanging glaciers falling into the lake are possible hazards that can breach moraine dam of Tsho-Rolpa (Shrestha et al. 2011). Modelling Tsho Rolpa shows that dam failure due to water overtopping could cause of 33215 and 35594 m³ s⁻¹ peak discharge at the lake outlet for 20 and 30 m breach depths, respectively. While, failure due seepage shows peak discharges of 21551 and 34234 m³ s⁻¹ for the same breach depths (Shrestha and Nakagawa 2014). Fujita et al. (2013) remarked that glacial lakes with wide damming moraines are unlikely to cause GLOFs, so, it can be said that there is no immediate danger from the Imja Tsho since its damming moraine is wide (> 500 m). Budhathoki et al. (2010) used the empirical scoring system and assessed that GLOF risk from an outburst from Imja Tsho is Moderate; signifying GLOF could occur at any time. Thulagi lake is rated as a high risk lake (Table 1), however this lake is relatively stable because of reduced geomorphological process rate and downwasting of dam due to vegetated surface (Haritashya et al. 2018). Chamlang South was estimated to have little capacity for future expansion due to its confinement by rock and lateral moraine, however, this
lakes has a high possibility of bursting because of seepage and hanging glaciers that could trigger a GLOF in future (Lamsal et al. 2016).

Overall, we discussed the literatures, methodological used, reports and the findings related with potential danger- ous glacial lakes and risk of outburst floods. Additionally, the added value of our study is evolution and decadal ex- pansion of six glacial lakes observed using Landsat images from 1987 to latest time scale in Nepal Himalaya. Evaluat- ing the risks of all typical glacial lakes, Barun Tsho has the greatest area expansion in recent years (Fig. 2), in spite the lake is not given much attention. The upstream GLOF can trigger a vigorous GLOF from lower situated Barun Tsho by destabilizing the lake. It has been found by remote sens- ing that this lake is susceptible to dynamic failure and that would have high downstream impact (Rounce et al. 2016). Lumding Tsho has not been studied in detail despite of its expansion. In the course of this glacial lake expansion, hang- ing glaciers located behind the calving front and upstream small lakes are potential hazards that could trigger GLOF from Lumding Tsho (Rounce et al. 2016). Thus, Barun Tsho and Lumding Tsho should be prioritized in the adoption of appropriate mitigation measures.

A remote survey by satellite images of earthquake damage to 491 glacial lakes did not find any evidence of burst from these glacial lakes (Kargel et al. 2015). No any severe GLOFs are reported in the Nepalese Himalaya after the 2015 earthquake, except one GLOF from a supraglacial pond observed in June 2016 from the Lhotse glacier in the Everest region (Rounce et al. 2017a). This shows that the glacial lakes in the Nepalese Himalaya are in a stable condition at present. However, we cannot predict when they will fail. The stability of dam of glacial lakes depends upon its geometry, internal structure and material properties. The triggering mechanisms like ice, snow and rock avalanching, glacier calving, wave overtopping, atmospheric triggers, ice-cored moraine degradation and earthquake are cause of dam failure and GLOFs (Westoby et al. 2014). The effect of climate change on the glacial lakes is rather complex, but clearly the ongoing temperature rise (IPCC 2014) has an indirect effect on glacial lakes, as it increases the glacier melt and retreat of glaciers. The increase in lake expansion rate in recent decades not only threatens downstream communities but also indicates the significant retreat of glaciers. The significant retreat of glaciers (Table 3) explains the increase in area of respective glacial lakes.

5. CONCLUSION

Imja Tsho, Barun Tsho, and Lumding Tsho have expanded rapidly in the recent decade of 2006 - 2016, by 42.1, 46.8, and 32.9% respectively, while Tsho-Rolpa, Thulagi, and Chamlang South Tsho are pretty stable. At present, these glacial lakes are quite stable in term of burst risk, however several GLOF triggering factors make them at risk of burst anytime in future. Despite of high expansion of Barun Tsho and Lumding Tsho, GLOF’s has not risen to date. Risk can be reduced as like as the cases of Tsho-Rolpa (in 2000) and Imja (in 2016) where water levels have been lowered by the action of Nepal Government. Detail studies are needed for Barun Tsho and Lumding Tsho which requires a combination of remote sensing and in-situ observations of the geology and geophysical conditions. Continuous model-based monitoring and risk assessment, mitigation measures and disaster management strategies are necessary for reducing the likely impact of GLOFs.
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Table 3. Retreat of different glacier termini associated with glacial lakes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacial lake</th>
<th>Mother glacier</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Retreat (my⁻¹)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Tsho-Rolpa</td>
<td>Trakarding glacier</td>
<td>1960 - 2009</td>
<td>~72</td>
<td>ICIMOD 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thulagi</td>
<td>Thulagi glacier</td>
<td>1990 - 2009</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>ICIMOD 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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